

SURFACES IN 4-MANIFOLDS: ADDENDUM

RONALD FINTUSHEL AND RONALD J. STERN

ABSTRACT. In this note we fill a gap in the proof of the main theorem (Theorem 1.2) of our paper *Surfaces in 4-manifolds*, Math. Res. Letters **4** (1997), 907–914.

Let Σ be a smoothly embedded surface in a simply connected smooth 4-manifold, and assume that Σ has nonnegative self-intersection number n and satisfies $\pi_1(X \setminus \Sigma) = 0$. Given a knot K in S^3 and a nontrivial loop C on Σ , one can perform ‘rim surgery’ by choosing a trivialization $C \times D^2$ of the normal bundle of Σ restricted over C and performing knot surgery [FS1] on the rim torus $C \times \partial D^2$ in X . This operation gives a new surface $\Sigma_{K,C} \subset X$.

Let Σ_n be the surface of self-intersection 0 in $X_n = X \# n \overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$ obtained by blowing up at n points of Σ . In [FS2] we defined a collection of complex surfaces Y_g containing standardly embedded surfaces S_g of self-intersection 0, and we called (X, Σ) a *SW-pair* if the Seiberg-Witten invariant $\mathcal{SW}_{X_n \# \Sigma_n = S_g Y_g} \neq 0$. The main theorem of [FS2] states that for any SW-pair (X, Σ) , if K_1 and K_2 are two knots in S^3 and if there is a diffeomorphism of pairs $(X, \Sigma_{K_1}) \rightarrow (X, \Sigma_{K_2})$, then $\Delta_{K_1}(t) = \Delta_{K_2}(t)$. To prove this theorem, one identifies $X_n \# \Sigma_{n,K} = S_g Y_g$ with the result of knot surgery on the fiber sum $(X_n \# \Sigma_n = S_g Y_g)_K$, and then uses [FS1] to calculate that $\mathcal{SW}_{X_n \# \Sigma_{n,K} = S_g Y_g} = \mathcal{SW}_{X_n \# \Sigma_n = S_g Y_g} \cdot \Delta_K(t^2)$.

However, some issues were not properly addressed. In particular, the fiber sum construction $X_n \# \Sigma_n = S_g Y_g$ needs both a fixed identification of the surface Σ_n with S_g as well as a choice of framing. The statement that $X_n \# \Sigma_{n,K} = S_g Y_g$ is diffeomorphic to $(X_n \# \Sigma_n = S_g Y_g)_K$ assumes that the identification and framing for the first fiber sum is induced from the choices made for $X_n \# \Sigma_n = S_g Y_g$. Since $(Y_1, S_1) = (E(1), \text{fiber})$, and since the complement of a fiber in $E(1)$ has big diffeomorphism group, there is no problem when $g = 1$.

Although it is possible to repair this problem, the construction of monopole Floer homology by Kronheimer and Mrowka [KM] (cf. [KMOS]) gives us a more satisfactory method of dealing with this situation. The point of fiber-summing X_n to Y_g was to exhibit the effect of rim surgery on the relative Seiberg-Witten invariant of $X_n \setminus \Sigma$. The spin^c -structures on $\Sigma \times S^1$ are in 1–1 correspondence with elements of $H^2(\Sigma \times S^1; \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^2(\Sigma; \mathbf{Z}) \oplus H^1(\Sigma; \mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z} \oplus H^1(\Sigma; \mathbf{Z})$; but any spin^c -structure not pulled back from Σ has a trivial Floer homology group. Thus one only needs to consider the spin^c -structures s_k corresponding to $(k, 0)$. This spin^c -structure satisfies $\langle c_1(s_k), [\Sigma] \rangle = 2k$. The Floer homology group $HM(\Sigma \times S^1; s_k)$ is trivial for $|k| \geq g$, the genus of Σ . We are interested in $HM(\Sigma \times S^1; s_{g-1}) \cong \mathbf{Z}$.

The first author was partially supported NSF Grant DMS0305818 and the second author by NSF Grant DMS0204041.

For convenience we now assume that $\Sigma \cdot \Sigma = 0$; so $(X_n, \Sigma_n) = (X, \Sigma)$. The relative Seiberg-Witten invariant $\mathcal{SW}_{X, \Sigma}$ assigns to each spin^c -structure τ on $X \setminus N(\Sigma)$ an element in $HM(\Sigma \times S^1; \sigma)$ where σ is the spin^c -structure on $\Sigma \times S^1 = \partial N(\Sigma)$ obtained by restricting σ . Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the collection of spin^c -structures τ on $X \setminus N(\Sigma)$ whose restriction to $\partial N(\Sigma)$ is $\pm s_{g-1}$. This gives rise to a well-defined Seiberg-Witten invariant $\mathcal{SW}_{X, \Sigma}^{\mathcal{T}} : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$. In the usual way, one obtains a Laurent polynomial $\mathcal{SW}_{X, \Sigma}^{\mathcal{T}}$ with variables from $A = \{\alpha \in H^2(X \setminus \Sigma; \mathbf{Z}) \mid \alpha|_{\Sigma \times S^1} = s_{g-1}\}$. This is an invariant in the sense that a diffeomorphism $f : (X, \Sigma) \rightarrow (X', \Sigma')$ induces $f^* : A' \rightarrow A$ and sends $\mathcal{SW}_{X', \Sigma'}^{\mathcal{T}}$ to $\mathcal{SW}_{X, \Sigma}^{\mathcal{T}}$. Note that $H^2(X \setminus \Sigma; \mathbf{Z})$ has a summand isomorphic to $H^3(X, X \setminus \Sigma; \mathbf{Z}) \cong H_1(\Sigma; \mathbf{Z}) \cong R$, the subgroup of $H_2(\Sigma \times S^1; \mathbf{Z})$ generated by the rim tori of Σ . There is a canonical identification of $H^2(X \setminus \Sigma; \mathbf{Z})$ and $H^2(X \setminus \Sigma_K; \mathbf{Z})$ which identifies $A = A_{(X, \Sigma)}$ with $A_{(X, \Sigma_K)}$.

Theorem. *Let Σ be a smoothly embedded genus $g > 0$ surface in a simply connected smooth 4-manifold X , and assume that Σ has self-intersection number 0 and satisfies $\pi_1(X \setminus \Sigma) = 0$. Assume that the relative Seiberg-Witten invariant $\mathcal{SW}_{X, \Sigma}^{\mathcal{T}} \neq 0$. If K_1 and K_2 are two knots in S^3 and if there is a diffeomorphism of pairs $f : (X, \Sigma_{K_1}) \rightarrow (X, \Sigma_{K_2})$, then the set of coefficients (with multiplicities) of $\Delta_{K_1}(t)$ must be equal to that of $\Delta_{K_2}(t)$.*

Proof. The proof of the knot surgery theorem [FS1] applies in this situation to show that $\mathcal{SW}_{X, \Sigma_{K_i}}^{\mathcal{T}} = \mathcal{SW}_{X, \Sigma}^{\mathcal{T}} \cdot \Delta_{K_i}(r_i^2)$ where r_i is the element of R belonging to the rim torus on which rim surgery was done. The theorem follows because the coefficients of $\mathcal{SW}_{X, \Sigma}^{\mathcal{T}} \cdot \Delta_{K_1}(r_1^2)$ must be precisely equal to those of $\mathcal{SW}_{X, \Sigma}^{\mathcal{T}} \cdot \Delta_{K_2}(r_2^2)$. $\square$

Remarks. 1. That the hypothesis $\mathcal{SW}_{X, \Sigma}^{\mathcal{T}} \neq 0$ is weaker than the hypothesis $\mathcal{SW}_{X \#_{\Sigma=S_g} Y_g} \neq 0$ of [FS2] follows from the gluing formula [KM] because Y_g is a complex surface, and its canonical class K gives a basic class for which $K \cdot S_g = 2g - 2$.

2. The conclusion of the theorem is slightly weaker than that claimed in [FS2]. The authors currently see no way around this, although it is conceivable that the hypothesis of the theorem implies that $\Delta_{K_1}(t) = \Delta_{K_2}(t)$. For example, as Stefano Vidussi has pointed out, this is true in case $\mathcal{SW}_{X, \Sigma}^{\mathcal{T}}$ is an irreducible (over $\mathbf{Z}$) Laurent polynomial whose support in A has rank at least 2.

3. The authors wish to thank Stefano Vidussi and Danny Ruberman for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [FS1] R. Fintushel and R. Stern, *Knots, links, and 4-manifolds*, Invent. Math. **134** (1998), 363–400.
- [FS2] R. Fintushel and R. Stern, *Surfaces in 4-manifolds*, Math. Res. Letters **4** (1997), 907–914.
- [KM] P. Kronheimer and T. Mrowka, “Floer Homology for Seiberg-Witten Monopoles”, in preparation.
- [KMOS] P. Kronheimer, T. Mrowka, P. Ozsvath, and Z. Szabo, *Monopoles and lens space surgeries*, math.GT/0310164.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY
EAST LANSING, MICHIGAN 48824

E-mail address: ronfint@math.msu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92697

E-mail address: rstern@math.uci.edu